From: <u>Kirsten Flynn</u>

To: <u>Barajas-Ochoa, Esther@OEHHA; P65Public Comments</u>

Subject: "GLYPHOSATE NSRL"-Proposed Specific Regulatory Level Chemical Causing Cancer: Glyphosate

Date: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 12:29:44 PM

Dear Ms. Barajas-Oehha,

I wanted to write to register my opposition to the proposed amendment that would adopt a NSRL of 1100 micrograms a day for Glyphosate. Warnings must be required for any exposure to the carcinogen glyphosate. Discharges of glyphosate to sources of drinking water must be prohibited. I strongly believe that there is no safe level of known carcinogens.

The dose does not make the poison, in this case, because the carcinogenic chemical is triggering a cellular change, and that triggering action can happen even with very limited exposure to said chemical. If the chemical hits the cell, the cells genes can express in such a way that the growth becomes carcinogenic. Allowing any discharge into sources of drinking water would allow this exposure.

I am a live long Californian. I have 6 family members who have had cancer, my Mother, my Uncle, 2 Aunts, my Grandmother, and my Sister, 3 family members who have died of cancer, and 3 family members who have had two cancers. We have no know genetic markers for cancer. I am tired of watching people I love fight cancer, my sister is stage 4 with her second. I am sick and sad that any known carcinogenic chemicals are approve for commerce in the State of California. Please, spare other California families from the heartbreak of dealing with disease. Do not approve a NSRL for Glyphosate, and insist on a Prop 65 label on all locations and products where ANY exposure might occur.

Respectfully, and sincerely, Kirsten A. Flynn 94306